UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,720	10/03/2003	Robert C. Lam	01168/DKT00076	6119	
	7590 11/08/200 FFER, SCHAUB & PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 916			STEELE, JENNIFER A		
TOLEDO, OH 43697-0916			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1794			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/678,720	LAM, ROBERT C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer Steele	1794		

	Jennifer Steele	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOI	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 30 October 2007. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	ducing of simplifying the	ie issues ioi			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Impliant Americanient (1 10L-324).			
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 6-9,12,13 and 29.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>23-28</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
		lizabeth M. Cole/ mary Examiner, Art	Unit 1794			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant did not amend the claims and therefore the previous Office Action rejection of 8/2/2007 is maintained and the amendment will not be entered. Applicant's arguments that the Lam does not disclose carbon fibers in the secondary layer and there is no reason to place the carbon fibers in the secondary layer and further there is no reason to put partially carbonized carbon fibers in the secondary layer. Lam in view of Brassell and Tradewell teach all the the features of the current application and present findings that one of ordinary skill in the art would have employed carbon fibers and partially carbonized fibers in the secondary layer of the friction material and could of pursued the known potential option with a reasonable expectation of success. Applicant argues that Brassell and Tradewell are nonanalogus art. However KSR provides the rationale that known work in one field of endeavor may prompt variations for use in the same field or a different field if the variations would have been predictable to one of ordinary skill in the art.